# e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pairs BES Results in Au+Au Collisions at 19.6, 27, 39, and 62.4

Joey Butterworth [for the STAR collaboration] Rice University August 20<sup>th</sup>, 2014

Thermal Photons and Dileptons in Heavy-Ion Collisions, BNL, Upton, NY

## Outline

Motivation

#### Experiment

- STAR
- Particle Identification
- Background
- Cocktail
- Model Comparisons
  - M<sub>ee</sub>
  - p<sub>Tee</sub>
- Summary



## Motivation

#### Excellent Probe

- Minimal final state interactions
- Generated at all stages of the collision

### Chronological Phases [Early to Latest]

- High Mass Region [HMR]
  - Drell-Yan
  - $J/\psi$  +  $\Upsilon$  Supression
- Intermediate Mass Region [IMR]
  - Heavy flavor modification
  - QGP (thermal) radiation
- Low Mass Region [LMR]
  - Vector meson modification
  - Possible link to chiral symmetry restoration



## p-meson Modification

#### CERES

10-4 <dN<sub>ee</sub>/dm<sub>ee</sub>>/<N<sub>ch</sub>>(100 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>)<sup>-1</sup> **CERES/NA45** Pb-Au 158 A GeV σ<sub>trig</sub>/σ<sub>tot</sub>≈ 7 % 17 GeV p\_>200 MeV/c 10<sup>-5</sup> ) ⊛<sub>∞</sub>>35 mrad **2.1<η<2.65** (a) 10<sup>-6</sup> 10<sup>-7</sup> O Beeno 10<sup>-8</sup> 1.2 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.4 0 1 1.6 m<sub>ee</sub> (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>) Phys. Let B, 666, 425(2008) J.Butterworth : Rice University

Cocktail ρ is insufficient [solid]

- NA 60
  - Vacuum ρ is insufficient [dash-dot]
  - Excludes mass-dropping [dash]
  - Supports broadening of ρ spectral function [solid]





### Beam Energy Scan Program: Phase I



J.Butterworth : Rice University

- RHIC Beam Energy Scan Program [2010-2011, 2014]

Au+Au @19.6, 27, 39, & 62.4 GeV [14.5 GeV Collected]

$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)	19.6	27	39	62.4
Events (M)	36	70	130	67

- Same colliding species & detector
- Opportunity to extensively study ρ spectral function
  - Connect between SPS & RHIC Au+Au 200 GeV
  - Dependence on  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  ?
  - Compare to models



### **STAR Detector**



- Time Projection Chamber [TPC]
  - Tracking
  - Ionization energy loss
  - Full azimuthal coverage

#### • Time of Flight [TOF]

- Precise time (resolution < 90 ps)</li>
- Improves TPC's PID purity
- Full azimuthal coverage



### **Electron Identification**

 TPC provides particle identification •  $n\sigma_{el} > -0.663 \text{ w/ } p[\text{GeVc}^{-1}] \ge 0.637 \text{ OR } n\sigma_{el} > (1.604 \cdot p - 1.685) \text{ w/ } p[\text{GeVc}^{-1}] < 0.637$  nσ<sub>el</sub> < -0.687 · p[GeVc<sup>-1</sup>] + 2.1 TOF enables slow hadron rejection Improves identification TOF Befor Typical identified e<sup>+/-</sup> purity ~95% |β<sup>-1</sup>-1| < 0.03</p> Selects ~40M e<sup>+/-</sup>  $\beta^{-1}$  vs Momentum ρ β-1 1.5 10<sup>6</sup> 1.4 -2 1.3 10<sup>5</sup> 27 GeV Data π 1.2 2×10<sup>-1</sup> 3×10<sup>-1</sup> 4×10<sup>-1</sup> Momentum<sup>2</sup>[GeVc<sup>-1</sup>] 10<sup>4</sup> 1.1 Dg el 10<sup>3</sup> Affie 1**e** 10<sup>2</sup> 0.9 10 0.8 27 GeV Data e 0.7 0.5 1.5 2 Momentum [GeVc<sup>-1</sup>] -1ŀ -2

J.Butterworth : Rice University

• Use TPC+TOF in tandem

- Selection Criteria for 27GeV data [varies for  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ ]

104

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>2</sup>

10

105

10<sup>4</sup>

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>2</sup>

10

27 GeV Data

Momentum [GeVc<sup>-1</sup>]

 $\pi$ 

1

3×10<sup>-1</sup> 4×10<sup>-1</sup>

2×10<sup>-1</sup>

TPC

## Background

#### Pair Background Sources

Combinatorial, Correlated, Conversion

#### Like-Sign Same Event Method

- Combine all like-sign pairs and average
- Removes combinatorial & correlated
- Acceptance correction w/ mixed event method

 $2\sqrt{N_{++}N_{--}}\frac{ME_{+-}}{2\sqrt{ME_{++}ME_{--}}}$ 

#### Unlike-Sign Mixed Event Method

- Combine e<sup>+/-</sup> from different events w/ similar properties\*
  - Z Vertex, Ref. Mult., and Event Plane Angle
  - Pools of 20 events
- Removes combinatorial

#### Conversion Rejection\*

• Selection based on pair's orientation in  $\vec{B}$ 

#### \* Criteria vary for each $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$



## Cocktail

- Contributions
  - $\pi^0$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\eta'$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $J/\psi$ ,  $c\overline{c}$  [Note: no  $\rho$ ]

#### Input

- Flat  $\phi$  [0, 2 $\pi$ ]
- η [-1,1]
  - Flat for 39 & 62 GeV.
  - GENESIS for 19 & 27 GeV
- p<sub>T</sub> from Tsallis Blast Wave [TBW] fits

#### Decay

Breit-Wigner/Kroll-Wada Formalism

#### Yield

• Meson-to- $\pi^0$  ratio from NA45 w/  $\pi^{+/-}$  dN/dy from STAR

#### cc Contributions

PYTHIA; Scaled by N<sub>binary</sub>

### **27 GeV TBW Fits**







## Invariant Mass: Data vs. Cocktail

- Au+Au 19.6, 27, 39, 62.4,
  & 200 GeV MB
- $p_{Te} > 0.2 \text{ GeV/c}, |\eta_e| < 1, |y_{ee}| < 1$
- Broad excess over LMR
  ρ contribution missing



### Model: Rapp, Wambach, van Hees

- Complete evolution (Hadron Gas + QGP)
- In-medium modified  $\rho$  spectral function—" $\rho$  melts"
  - Dependent on total baryon density
- QGP emission rates that are lattice QCD inspired





- Run 10 AuAu 200 GeV MB
- Vacuum  $\rho$  gives an insufficient description
- Model agrees within uncertainties



8/20/201

### Invariant Mass: Data vs. Cocktail+Model



### Transverse Momentum: Data vs. Cocktail+Model

- Au+Au 19.6, 27, 39, & 62.4 GeV MB
- p<sub>Te</sub> > 0.2 GeV/c, |η<sub>e</sub>| < 1, |y<sub>ee</sub>| < 1</p>
- Cocktail + Model contributions consistent with Data as a function of  $M_{ee} \& p_{Tee}$



### Beam Energy Scan Program: Phase II

#### BES: Phase II

- Build upon the success of Phase I
- Enhanced statistics
  - Eg.: 19 GeV with 200 GeV MB Stat. Uncert.
- Detector upgrades
  - iTPC, Muon Telescope Detector
- Test total baryon density dependence

#### Total baryon density dependence

- In-medium modification of p's spectral function
- Excess yield of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>
- Statistics allow testing



8/20/2014

Nucl. Phys. A 674 (2000) 249.

### Summary

- e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> continuum measurements across  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  of 19.6, 27, 39, and 62.4 GeV
- At each  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ , there is an excess with respect to the hadronic cocktail
  - No strong  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  dependence
- Excess consistent w/ model calculations involving a medium modified ρ spectral function
  Demonstrated for the excess as a function of M<sub>ee</sub> & p<sub>Tee</sub>!







J.Butterworth : Rice University

8/20/2014