## LATEST ANALYSIS WITH THE PHENIX HBD

# Mihael Makek (University of Zagreb) for the PHENIX Collaboration

Thermal Photons and Dileptons in Heavy-Ion Collisions, RIKEN BNL Workshop, BNL, 2014

## Outline

- Introduction
- PHENIX with the HBD
  - The Hadron Blind Detector
  - Run-10 preliminary result
- Recent progress
  - Statistics
  - Electron identification
  - Background subtraction
  - Quantitative understanding of the background
- Summary



## Introduction



### 2004 Run (Au+Au) √s<sub>NN</sub>=200 GeV



conversions  $\rightarrow$  improve with the **HBD** 



## The Hadron Blind Detector



- Cherenkov detector
- □ GEMs with CF<sub>4</sub>
- Distinguishes pair opening angle
- Can veto background e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pairs from π<sup>0</sup> Dalitz and γ conversions



Open

NIM A646, 35-58 (2011)



### The preliminary result 2009 p+p Run, sqrt(s)=200 GeV

- Data consistent with the cocktail
- Fully consistent with the published result
- Provide the crucial proof of principle for understanding the HBD





### The preliminary result 2010 Au+Au Run, sqrt(s<sub>NN</sub>)=200 GeV





### Data over cocktail



2004 and 2010 Run results consistent

Large errors

(Run-10 errors driven by strong fiducial cuts and conservative estimate



## Recent progress

Statistics:

4.6B→5.6B events, by relaxing the vertex cut Electron identification Background subtraction

## PHENIX Time-of-flight

- Time-of-flight information implemented for improved hadron rejection
  - EMCal (PbSc)
    - 3/4 of acceptance
    - σ=450 ps
  - ToF East
    - ~ 1/8 of acceptance
    - σ=150 ps





# Revised RICH reconstruction algorithm



- Ring reconstruction in the Ring Imaging Cherenkov Detector (RICH)
  - Parallel tracks point to the same ring in RICH
  - Hadrons can leak in
  - New algorithm forbids a ring to be associated with multiple tracks
  - Associate only with signal electron candidate tracks



## Optimized electron identification

- Use neural networks for:
  - Hadron rejection
  - Conversion rejection
  - HBD double hit rejection
- Input for NNs: EmCal, HBD, ToF, modifed RICH
- Hadron contamination factor of ~1/3 as with 1D cuts, keeping similar efficiency
- Electron sample purity in
   0-10% central events is ~95% (was ~70% in 2004 Run)

NN trained and monitored on HIJING simulation:

#### DATA – black HIJING – red HIJING signal HIJING bckg





## **Background subtraction**

- Run-10 preliminary result hybrid background subtraction
  - Subtract the mixed BG
  - Subtract the acceptance corrected residual like-sign spectra
  - Not enough precision for the central bins
- Run-10 current effort component-by-component subtraction:
  - Traditional approach: FG = mixed BG + jet + cross-pair
    - $\rightarrow$  could not reproduce the like-sign data
  - New approach: FG = mixed BG with flow + jet + cross-pair + e-h hidden correlation



## Mixed background with flow



E.g. simulation using single electron  $v_2$  from 20-40% data



- Flow distorts the shape of the combinatorial background
- RP binning does not correct the effect completely
- To correct for the flow effect, each mixed background pair is weighted by an analytic factor:

 $w(\Delta \phi) = 1 + 2 v_2(p_{T,1}) v_2(p_{T,2}) \cos(2\Delta \phi)$ 

- □ Single electron  $v_2$  derived from the data
- The approach is verified by the simulation (plots on the left)
- The weighting method reproduces correctly the combinatorial background shape

## **Cross-pairs**



- □ e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pairs from the same primary particle, but different parent → correlated background
- □  $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ ,  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  and  $\eta \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma$ ,  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  simulated with EXODUS generator
- Passed through PHENIX acceptance and reconstruction
- Normalization: absolute
  - **and**  $\eta$  contributions scaled by dN/dy measured by PHENIX



## Jet contributions

- Correlated e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pairs from jets
- Simulated using PYTHIA generator (p+p jets)



- Passed though PHENIX acceptance and reconstruction
- Normalization: absolute
  - Each ee pair scaled by:
    - $N_{coll} * R_{AA} (p_T^a) * I_{AA} (p_T^b, \Delta \phi)$
    - $p_T$  and  $\Delta \phi$  refer to primary particles
    - a the particle with the higher  $p_T$ , b the particle with the lower  $p_T$
    - R<sub>AA</sub> is from PHENIX data for pions
    - I<sub>AA</sub> from PHENIX data from PRC 78,014901 (2008)



## e-h hidden correlation



- □ Hadron (h<sup>-</sup>) parallel to  $e^+$  in RICH  $\rightarrow$  h<sup>-</sup> is misidentified as electron
  - □ If e<sup>+</sup> and h<sup>-</sup> are reconstructed, the RICH ring sharing cut will reject the event
  - If e<sup>+</sup> is not reconstructed (efficiency or dead area), the ring sharing is not recognized, and the e<sup>-h-</sup> pair enters the event
- □ The e<sup>-h-</sup> pair is correlated, so cannot be removed by the mixed background
  - Simulate this contribution and subtract



## Mixed background normalization

Mixed BG normalization (weighted with flow):

- $FG_{++} = Cross_{++} + Jet_{++} + e-h_{++} + nf_{++} * mixBG_{++}$ •  $FG_{--} = Cross_{--} + Jet_{--} + e-h_{--} + nf_{--} * mixBG_{--}$ Fit with  $nf_{++}$  and  $nf_{--}$  being the only free parameters
- A. Normalization using pair opening angle  $(d\phi_0)$

Centrality	Norm region
0-10%	0.7 <dphi0<3.14< td=""></dphi0<3.14<>
10-20%	0.7 <dphi0<2.3< td=""></dphi0<2.3<>



Normalization using pair mass m<sub>ee</sub> > 0.2 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>
 A and B are consistent



### Like-sign spectrum, 0-10% centrality

- Understanding of the background verified by the like-sign spectra
- Correlated components absolutely normalized
- Combinatorial background mixed background with flow
- The ratio of the like-sign foreground to total background, for m<sub>ee</sub>>0.15 is flat at 1
- Very good qualitative and quantitative understanding of all background components





### Like-sign spectrum, 10-20% centrality

- Understanding of the background verified by the like-sign spectra
- Correlated components absolutely normalized
- Combinatorial background mixed background with flow
- The ratio of the like-sign foreground to total background, for m<sub>ee</sub>>0.15 is flat at 1
- Very good qualitative and quantitative understanding of all background components





## Summary

### Since QM2012

- Significant progress on electron identification -95% sample purity achieved
- Good qualitative and quantitative understanding of the background component-by-component
- Analysis closing completion





# Effect of flow on the combinatorial background (I)

Motivation:

- Residual correlated yield in the FG/mixedBG like-sign ratio
- This yield could not be explained by know sources (e.g. jets)



- Suspect flow correlations
  - Only partially removed by the reaction plane binning
  - Cannot be completely eliminated due to finite RP resolution



# Effect of flow on the combinatorial background (II)

#### Explanation:

- Due to flow, particle emission angles

   (φ) are not uniformely distributed
   relative to the reaction plane (Ψ)
- If single particles are generated according to the distribution function: 1+2v<sub>2</sub>cos(2(φ-Ψ))
- It can be shown that random pairs are distributed according to: w(Δφ) = 1 + 2v<sub>2</sub>(p<sub>T,1</sub>)v<sub>2</sub>(p<sub>T,2</sub>)cos(2Δφ)





# Effect of flow on the combinatorial background (III)

#### Study the effect with realistic MC:

- Generate e<sup>+</sup> and e<sup>-</sup>
  - p<sub>T</sub> distribution from data
  - Uniform in rapidity
  - Reaction plane (Ψ) uniformely from [-π/2,π/2]
  - Determine azimuth angle (j) by:1+2v<sub>2</sub>cos(2(φ-Ψ))
  - v<sub>2</sub> extracted from from 20-40% data
- Pass PHENIX acceptance filter
- Standard pair analysis
- MC reproduced the residual shape compatible with the one seen in data





# Effect of flow on the combinatorial background (IV)

- Study the weighting method with realistic MC:
  - Apply the weight for each pair in the generated mixed background:

 $w(\Delta \phi) = 1 + 2v_2(p_{T,1})v_2(p_{T,2})cos(2\Delta \phi)$ 

- Electron v<sub>2</sub> extracted from the analyzed data
- Reproduces the combinatorial background perfectly
- Cross-check the reaction plane binning method with the same MC setup
  - Fails to reproduce the combinatorial background

Simple mixed BG RP binning Weighting method





## e-h contribution simulation

- **D** Use  $\pi^0$  and η cross-pair simulation
  - Add MC tracks to DATA events
  - Merge MC and DATA hits in RICH
- Filter only DATA tracks which used to fail eID cuts before merging, but pass eID cuts after merging (promoted hadrons)
- Apply all the analysis cuts
- Select the remaining MC-data pairs
- Normalization of e-h contribution: absolute
  - Comes automatically since the cross-pairs are absolutely normalized



# Like-sign spectra, 0-10% centrality (++, -- separately)





Mihael Makek

# Background normalization using the opening angle



- Idea: normalize the combinatorial background in the region where the correlated components are minimal
- Avoid the systematic error of the correlated components (MC)
- Opening angle distribution of all correlated sources
- □ Clear minium around  $d\phi_0 \sim 90^\circ$



## Jet normalization – $I_{aa}$ extraction



- 1. Select the centrality bin:
  - 0-20%
  - 20-40%
  - 40-60%
  - 60-92%
- 2. Op. angle <90° or >90° ?
- Select p<sub>T</sub> range of the "trigger particle" → for p<sub>T</sub><2.0, use the lowest p<sub>T</sub> bin (2-3 GeV/c)
- Select p<sub>T</sub> of the "associated particle" → take the closest point
- 5. Get the corresponding  $I_{aa}$



Mihael Makek

## eID flow



## Combinatorial background in PHENIX





## The Cocktail (QM2012)

- Hadron decays simulated in EXODUS
- Fit π<sup>0</sup> and π<sup>±</sup> data p+p or Au+Au to modified Haggedorn function:

$$E\frac{d^{3}}{dp^{3}} = \frac{A}{(e^{-(ap_{T}+bp_{T}^{2})} + p_{T}/p_{0})^{n}}$$

for other mesons η, ω, ρ, φ, J/Ψ etc. use pion parametrization and replace:

$$p_T \to \sqrt{p_T^2 + m^2 - m_\pi^2}$$

- The absolute normalization of each meson provided by meson to  $π^0$  ratio at high p<sub>T</sub>
- Open heavy flavor (c,b) simulated with MC@NLO
- The cocktail filtered through the PHENIX acceptance and smeared with detector resolution





### Differences in runs with and without HBD

#### Data:

Different magnetic field configuration:

- Run-9 (p+p) and Run-10 (Au+Au) with HBD: +- field configuration
- all other runs: ++ field configuration
- larger acceptance of low p<sub>T</sub> tracks in +- field

□More material due to HBD:

more J/Ψ radiative tail

#### Cocktail:

MC@NLO for open heavy flavor (c,b) contribution instead of PYTHIA





## Parallel analysis efforts

Two parallel and independent analysis streams: provide crucial consistency check

- A. Weizmann + Tokyo + Zagreb group
- B. Stony Brook group

#### Stream A

HBD: reconstruction based on MinPad clusterizer

**Neural network** for eID and for single/double electron separation

Correlated background from e-h contributions by **cross-pair simulation embedded into RICH data** 

#### Stream B

HBD: reconstruction based on LBS clusterizer

**Standard 1D cuts** for eID and for single/double electron separation

Correlated background from e-h contributions by **full Central Arm embedding** 



## **Statistics**

- Relaxed vertex cut:
  - Preliminary:
    - -20 cm < z < 20 cm
    - 4.6B Min. Bias events
  - Current:
    - -30 cm < z < 25 cm 5.6B Min. Bias events



